

NAFA Income Opportunity Fund (NIOF)

MONTHLY REPORT (MUFAP's Recommended Format) Unit Price (30/03/2013): Rs. 10.2711

March 2013

Performance									
Performance %	March 2013 *	FYTD Jul 12 - Mar13 *	Trailing 12 Months Apr-12 - Mar-13 *	Since Launch April 22, 2006 **					
NAFA Income Opportunity Fund	18.34%	8.43%	7.65%	6.45%					
Benchmark	9.54%	10.06%	10.55%	11.58%					
* Simple Annualized Return - ** (Annualized Return Based on Morningstar Methodology)									

(Returns are net of management fee & all other expenses)

NBP Fullerton

A Subsidiary of

General Information

TFCs / Sukuks

T-Bills

Equity

Total

Leverage

Money Market Placements

Other including receivables

Pakistan Mobile Communication (Listed II)

Placement with DFIs

Cash Equivalents

Name of TFCs / Sukuks

Avari Hotels Limited

Bank Alfalah Limited V

Allied Bank Limited II

Maple Leaf Cement (Sukuk I)

Engro Fertilizer Limited (PPTFC)

Kohat Cement Limited (Sukuk)

BRR Guardian Modaraba

Total

HUBCO Short Term Islamic Sukuk V

HUBCO Short Term Islamic Sukuk VI

Launch Date:	April 22, 2006
Fund Size:	Rs. 1,749 million
Type: Dealing Davis	Open-end – Income Fund
Dealing Days: Dealing Time:	Daily – Monday to Saturday (Mon - Thr) 9:00 A.M to 5:00 P.M
Deaning nine.	(Friday) 9:00 A.M to 5:30 P.M
	(Saturday) 9:00 A.M to 1:00 P.M
Settlement:	2-3 business days
Pricing Mechanism:	Forward Pricing
Load:	Front end: 0%, Back end: 0%
Management Fee:	1.5% per annum
Risk Profile:	Low
Fund Stability Rating:	"BBB+(f)" by PACRA
Listing:	Lahore Stock Exchange
Custodian & Trustee:	Central Depository Company (CDC)
Auditors:	KPMG Taseer Hadi & Co.
Benchmark:	Chartered Accountants
	6-Month KIBOR Ammar Rizki
Fund Manager: Minimum	Growth Unit: Rs. 10,000/-
Subscription:	Income Unit: Rs. 100,000/-
Asset Manager Rating:	AM2 by PACRA
0 0	,
Asset Allocation (% of Total	Assets) 30-Mar-13 28-Feb-13

Top 10 TFC/Sukuk Holdings (as at March 30

42.08%

6.10%

0.31%

2.91% 2.05%

21.79%

24.76%

100.00%

Nil

1.56%

43.03%

42 99%

Investment Objective

To seek preservation of Capital and earn a reasonable rate of return via investing in money market and debt securities with investment-grade rating, CFS and spread transactions.

Fund Manager Commentary

The Fund posted an annualized return of 18.34% during March 2013 as compared to the benchmark return of 9.54%. Superior performance during the month was on account of receipt of profit / principal repayment of cement sector Sukuks which is valued at a discount to its par value.

Weighted average price of the TFC portfolio (excluding TFCs which are fully provided) is Rs. 81.87 against the par value of Rs. 100. The weighted average Yield to Maturity of the Fund is around 17.66% p.a. and that of the TFC portfolio is 25.78% p.a. The weighted average time to maturity of the Fund is about 1.72 years. The Fund's sector allocation is fairly diversified with exposure to Telecom, Fertilizer, Cement, Electricity, Banking, and Leisure (Hotel) sub-sectors. However, since prices of TFCs may go up or down in the short-term, therefore, only investors with medium-term investment horizon are advised to invest in this Fund.

Details of Non-Compliant Investments

0 /0	72.3370								
0% 1% 1%	3.81% 0.31% 2.91%	Particulars	Type of Investment	Value of Investments before Provision	Provision held	Value of Investments after Provision	% of Net Assets	% of Gross Assets	Yield to Maturity per annum
5%	2.21%	BRR Guardian Modaraba***	SUKUK	46,250,000	-	34,687,500	1.98%	1.56%	37.62%
9%	22.47%	Escort Investment Bank Limited***	TFC	7,493,940	-	5,525,702	0.32%	0.25%	18.00%
6%	25.30%	Kohat Cement Limited (Sukuk)***	SUKUK	47,812,085	-	35,859,064	2.05%	1.61%	8.80%
0%	100.00%	Saudi Pak Leasing***	TFC	46,725,840	-	24,063,808	1.38%	1.08%	41.98%
	Nil	World Call Telecom Limited	TFC	96,370,722	81,915,114	14,455,608	0.83%	0.65%	152.63%
-		Eden Housing (Sukuk II)	SUKUK	19,837,500	6,915,571	12,921,929	0.74%	0.58%	70.60%
arch 30, 2013)		Maple Leaf Cement (Sukuk I)	SUKUK	369,150,000	221,490,000	147,660,000	8.44%	6.62%	38.30%
	· · ·	Pak Elektron Limited (Sukuk)	SUKUK	51,428,571	30,291,325	21,137,246	1.21%	0.95%	157.55%
% of Total Assets 6.86% 6.62% 6.57%		Agritech Limited I	TFC	149,860,200	149,860,200	-	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Agritech Limited V	TFC	32,320,000	32,320,000	-	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Azgard Nine Limited III	TFC	108,376,850	108,376,850	-	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Azgard Nine Limited V	TFC	82,180,000	82,180,000	-	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Dewan Cement Limited	TFC	150,000,000	150,000,000	-	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Gharibwal Cement Limited (PPTFC)	TFC	24,355,500	24,355,500	-	n/a	n/a	n/a
	6.35%	New Allied Electronics (PPTFC)	TFC	31,706,536	31,706,536	-	n/a	n/a	n/a
	4.46%	New Allied Electronics (Sukuk II)	SUKUK	44,148,934	44,148,934	-	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3.81%	PACE Pakistan Limited	TFC	149,820,000	149,820,000	-	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Azgard Nine Limited (Non-Voting Ordinary Shares)	Equity	12,854	12,854	-	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2.90%	Agritech Limited Shares	Equity	141,403,150	95,654,764	45,748,386	2.62%	2.05%	n/a
	2.29%	Total		1,599,252,682	1,209,047,648	342,059,243	19.56%	15.34%	
	1.61%	***Said TFCs are performing but clas							ence betwe


hetwe the Value of Investment before provision and after provision is mark to market loss instead of provisioning

WORKERS' WELFARE FUND (WWF)

The scheme has maintained provisions against Workers' Welfare Fund's liability to the tune of Rs.13,804,931/-. If the same were not made the NAV per unit/ last one year return of scheme would be higher by Rs. 0.0811/0.85%. For details investors are advised to read note 12 of the latest Financial Statement of the Scheme for the period ended December 31, 2012.

Name of the Members of Investment Committee Dr. Amjad Waheed, CFA

Sajjad Anwar, CFA Muhammad Ali Bhabha, CFA, FRM Syed Suleman Akhtar, CFA Ammar Rizki



Disclaimer: This publication is for informational purposes only and nothing herein should be construed as a solicitation recommendation or an offer to buy or sell any fund All investments in mutual funds are subject to market risks. The NAV based prices of units and any dividends/returns thereon are dependant on forces and factors affecting the capital markets. These may go up or down based on market conditions. Past performance is not necessarily indicative of future results.